

Applicants respectfully traverse the allegation that the July 18, 2006 Amendment was non-responsive for failing to specifically address a prior art rejection of dependent Claim 19. Applicants properly traversed the rejection of the base Claim 10 (based, in part, on the same art). Allowance of Claim 10 will automatically result in allowance of Claim 19. Therefore, Rule 111(b) has been fully complied with.

Nevertheless, to erase all doubt, and in response to the prior Official Action, please enter the following:

**I. Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 3 of this paper.

**II. Remarks** begin on page 10 of this paper.